#### **PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION** Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in





### Sh. GautamSingal, (9501100110)

B-1, 363, Guru Nanak Pura, Civil Lines, Ludhiana.

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus **Public Information Officer** 

.....Respondent

O/o Addl. Director General of Police, Provisioning, Punjab Police, HQ, Sector-9, Chandigarh.

### First Appellate Authority

O/o Inspector General of Police, Provisioning, Punjab Police, HQ, Sector-9, Chandigarh.

# Appeal Case No.1592 of 2021

(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

RTI application filed on 08-07-2020 PIO replied on 31-08-2020 First appeal filed on 22-09-2020 First Appellate Authority order

Present: Appellant: Sh. Gautam Singal

Respondent: Sh. Manmeet Singh (AIG provisional). 9814310782

ORDER:

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order 22.06.2021.

## Information Sought: The appellant has sought following information enumerated in the RTI application:-

- 1. Clear Photocopy of application submitted by the applicant vide Memo No. 867/VB/EOW/LDH dated 06.05.2020 for Cancellation of Allotment of G.O. Flat No. 11, Commando Complex, Phase-XI, SAS Nagar w.e.f. 31.05.2020.
- 2. Clear photocopy of Memo No. 2144/Building-1 dated 11.05.2020 issued by the office of DGP Punjab (Building Branch) Which approved the cancellation of allotment of G.O Flat No. 11, Commando Complex, Phase-XI, SAS Nagar w.e.f 31.05.2020.
- 3. Clear photocopy of Memo No. 2194/Building-1 dated 15.05.2020 issued by the office of DGP Punjab (Building Branch) vide which G.O Flat No. 11, Commando Complex, Phase-XI, SAS Nagar was shown to have been allotted in the name of the Commandant, 3rd Commando Battalion, SAS Nagar w.e.f 15.05.2020 for two months in order to facilitate Ms. Anju Singal to stay in the said accommodation without entitlement.
- 4. Copy of relevant Government Rules under which Ms. Anju Singal was allowed to stay in G.O Flat No. 11, Commando Complex, Phase-XI, SAS

- Nagar by making the allotment of the said Flat in the name of the Commandant, 3<sup>rd</sup> Commando Battalion, SAS Nagar w.e.f. 15.05.2020 for two months.
- 5. Clear photocopy of office note/memo received by office of ADGP Provisioning from worthy ADGP Community. Affairs Division which recommended the allotment of the said official Flat in the name of Ms. Anju Singal.
- 6. Clear photocopy of all office notings from 12.05.2020 to 15.05.2020, initiated in the office of DGP Punjab (Building Branch) and approved by then worthy ADGP Provisioning Punjab regarding allotment of the said official Flat in the name of MS. Anju Singal.
- 7. Clear photocopy of application submitted by Ms. Anju Singal to grant her extension to stay in the said Flat in June 2020.
- 8. Clear photocopy of the Memo/ office noting regarding granting / denying extension to Ms. Anju Singal to stay in the said G.O. Flat No. 11 beyond 15.07.2020
- 1. Both the parties are present. The respondent Sh. Manmeet Singh pleaded with the compliance of the previous order of the Commission an additional reply was sent to the appellant vide letter reference no. 4942 dated 14.09.2021. A copy of the same received in the Commission vide diary no.21079 dated 16.09.2021. Appellant, Sh. Gautam Singal acknowledged the receiving of the sought information but he stated that information pertaining to point no. 4 of RTI application i.e. Copy of relevant Government Rules under which Ms. Anju Singal was allowed to stay in Flat is still pending.

### **Decision:**

2. Keeping in view the facts of the submissions made by both the parties, the Commission is of the view that an appropriate response as per the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005 is provided by the PIO in the matter. However, the respondent PIO is directed to send the duly attested copy of aforesaid rules (point no.4) sought by the appellant within 10 days from the receipt of this order.

With regard to the prayer of the appellant for imposing penalty upon the PIO, the Commission does not find any malafide intention on the part of the PIO in denying the information to the appellant and hence the question of imposition of any penalty does not arise. The instant Second Appeal stands disposed of accordingly.

Chandigarh Dated: 21.09.2021

Sd/(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.